

REMARKS

Claims 1-78 are pending in the present application. Claims 50-65 have been allowed. Claims 1, 2, 6, 10, 13, 22, 23, 26, 33, 34, 66, 68, 69, 71 and 72 have been rejected, and claims 3-5, 7-9, 11, 12, 14-21, 24, 25, 27-32, 35-49, 67, 70 and 73-78 have been objected to. Applicant has canceled claims 3, 7, 11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25, 27, 30-32, 35, 36, 38, 39, 42, 67, 70 and 73-75, amended claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 15, 16, 19, 20, 28, 29, 37, 40, 43, 46-49, 66, 76 and 77, and added new claims 79-101. Reconsideration is requested.

As a courtesy, Applicant has attached a copy of a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement that was filed with the USPTO on December 12, 2005. Applicant would appreciate the Examiner initialing and returning the Form PTO/SB/08a indicating that the information has been considered and made of record.

In the current Office action the Examiner rejected claims 1, 2, 6, 10, 13, 22, 23, 26, 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoon (5,542,949). The Examiner also rejected claims 66, 68, 69, 71 and 72 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon (5,542,949). Applicant does not agree with the Examiner's rejections and reserves the right to pursue the rejected claims in a continuation application.

The Examiner allowed claims 50-65 and objected to the remaining claims as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In order to expedite the prosecution of the current application, Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of claims 50-65 and has rewritten a number of the objected claims in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim, making all of the Examiner's rejections moot. Independent claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of objected

claim 3, therefore, claim 1 as amended and all claims depending there from are allowable. Independent claim 66 has been amended to include the limitations of objected claim 67, therefore claim 66 as amended and all claims depending there from are allowable. The remaining dependent claims that were amended were done so to change dependency. Further, new claims 79-101 are claims that were objected to, but indicated by the Examiner that they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. For ease of reference, the following table illustrates which amended or new claim corresponds to the previous objected claim.

<u>Previous Objected Claim</u>	<u>Corresponding Amended or New claim</u>
3	1 (amended)
7	79 (new)
11	80 (new)
12	81 (new)
14	82 (new)
17	83 (new)
18	84 (new)
20	85 (new)
21	86 (new)
24	87 (new)
25	88 (new)
27	89 (new)
30	90 (new)
31	91 (new)
32	92 (new)
35	93 (new)

36	94 (new)
38	95 (new)
39	96 (new)
42	97 (new)
67	66 (amended)
70	98 (new)
73	99 (new)
74	100 (new)
75	101 (new)

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims are now in condition for allowance. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application are respectfully requested and allowance at an early date is solicited.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge deposit account no. 06-2425 for any unforeseen fees arising from the filing of this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LLP

By: Douglas R. Peterson
 Douglas R. Peterson
 Registration No. 53,458

Howard Hughes Center
 6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90045
 Telephone: (310) 824-5555
 Facsimile: (310) 824-9696
 Customer No. 24201
 109506.1